

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,223	10/30/2001	Nelson Liang An Chang	10014330-1	1130
75	90 09/17/2003			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 27240	-		WALLACE, SCOTT A	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2671	2
•			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/021,223	CHANG, NELSON	J LIANG AN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Scott Wallace	2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			dress			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may  ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) May  cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	_					
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,7 and 9</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-6,8 and 10-12</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	•				
Application Papers	or ciection requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	, , ,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( of Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 10/021,223 Page 2

Art Unit: 2671

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asahi et al. in view of Smartt, U.S. Patent No. 5,963,956.

3.

- 4. As per claims 1 and 7, Asahi et al discloses representing the database of objects with a hierarchical tree (pg 405, column 1) derived from object metadata (NBA player info); pre-assigning a predetermined parent (root) node to an area corresponding to the undivided graphical environment (pg 405. 2<sup>nd</sup> column); starting with the predetermined node, for each area corresponding to each parent (root) node in the tree having at least two associated child nodes: recursively partitioning the area into a plurality of areas corresponding to each associated child node such that the areas are proportional to the weight of each child node (pg 405, 2<sup>nd</sup> column). However, Asahi et al does not disclose a method for partitioning a three-dimensional graphical environment for displaying representative images of objects in a database. This is disclosed in Smartt in column 1 lines 5-25. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to partition a 3D graphical environment with a hierarchical tree because this would have increased processor speed because the hierarchical tree made handling large volumes of information much easier.
- 5. As per claim 9, Asahi et al discloses wherein the area partitioner further comprising a vertical partitioner for vertically partitioning and a horizontal partitioner for horizontal partitioning (pg 405, 2<sup>nd</sup> column).

Application/Control Number: 10/021,223

Art Unit: 2671

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-6, 8,10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Scott Wallace whose telephone number is 703-605-5163.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at 703-305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA,

Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703) 306-0377.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

Page 3